UCDAVIS PROGRAM FOR INTERNATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES

## Purpose

We were requested to provide our client (McLaughlin Reserve management) with a report on current lighting fixture technologies and sufficient information on upgrading lights that are compatible with the current system.

To satisfy our clients' needs, a low range, mid range, and high range cost option for upgraded lighting systems will be explored. Emphasis will be set on researching various control systems which include integrated occupancy and light sensors. A cost analysis will be provided which includes the effect of potential PG&E rebates awarded to replacing energy inefficient fixtures. The goal is to provide our client with sufficient information to take actions to replace the lights (i.e. providing them with a quote for new lights from a lighting rep).

Some of the benefits through the realization of our efforts include providing on site energy savings, improving on site occupant comfort level, protecting on site environmental stewardship, and reaching UC commitment towards carbon neutrality initiative in 2025.

# Methodology

## • Preliminary Research on Light Technology

Our approach to this project is first through extensive lighting technology research. We accomplished this task through communicating with field experts from the California Lighting Technology Center, energy data analysts from the Energy Conservation Office, and technology director from the Program for International Energy Technologies. Through meeting with them, we learned how to identify different types of ballasts (magnetic or electronic), PG&E rebate options (for cost analysis), case studies of similar lighting retrofit projects, and pros and cons of different lighting design and zoning options.

Their advice on our project scoping and their networks from the lighting industry paved our way to completing this project.

## Site Visit & Data Collection

After gaining a sufficient understanding of various lighting technologies, we visited the site and met our client in person. We identified our clients' lighting usage needs (functional needs for different types of lighting) and operating patterns (use of lights in a typical day). Through walking the facility and examining existing lighting lamps and fixtures (make and model, distribution count, performance), we got a hold of the existing on site situation.

## • Further Research

We furthered our findings on existing lighting distribution through looking closely into facility lighting fixture drawings. Using specs from the manufacturer's website (General Electric), we then calculated the total energy usage with the lumen and wattage data and occupancy pattern data.

## • Contact Reps

To provide our client with a realistic quote towards a lighting retrofit for the facility, we contacted multiple lighting representatives in the Sacramento region. Using proper lighting language (such as "2x4" recessed fluorescent troffer with 4 lamps"), we were able to quickly receive replacements of LED lighting suggestions from the reps. Their recommendations were extremely beneficial for our understandings.

	<u> </u>
Blue (2-40): 14+20+17=51	
Green (3-40): 4=4	
	r the
	e and the second
	AND AND FIRST FLOOR PLAN
()	
B B C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
PARTIAL PLAN-ROOF PARTIAL PLAN-	a transfer de la construcción de

# UC Davis McLaughlin Reserve ZNE Lighting Retrofit







Projected Energy Audit with New Lighting Technology									
ltem	Location	Count	Power per Appliance (W)	Annual Usage Hours	Annual Energy Use (kWh)				
		Field Sta	ation						
/8 - 4 - 132	Bathrooms	6	32	446	85.632				
60 Shower Light	Bathrooms	4	26	116.5	12.116				
lew LED's	Entryway	5	32	466	74.56				
lew LED's	Hallways	17	32	466	253.504				
lew LED's	Offices/Misc	8	32	116.5	29.824				
lew LED's	Offices/Misc	4	32	116.5	14.912				
lew LED's	Offices/Misc	8	32	116.5	29.824				
lew LED's	Kitchen	3	32	699	67.104				
lew LED's	Living Room	7	32	466	104.384				
lew LED's	Living Room	8	32	466	119.296				
lew LED's	Bedrooms	11	32	185	65.12				
lew LED's	Bedrooms	10	32	185	59.2				
		Wareho	use						
lew LED's	Mining Gym	14	32	125	56				
lew LED's	Mining Hallway	8	32	280	71.68				
lew LED's	Mining Hallway	1	32	280	8.96				
lew LED's	Mining Locker Room	27	32	96	82.944				
lew LED's	Mining Locker Room	1	32	96	3.072				
lew LED's	Mining Office 1st floor	6	32	500	96				
lew LED's	Mining Office 2nd floor	29	32	1500	1392				
D High Bays	Mining Storage Room	23	170	384	1501.44				
lew LED's	Shared bathroom 2nd floor	2	32	375	24				
lew LED's	UC Bathroom	1	32	125	4				
lew LED's	UC Common Room	15	32	200	96				
lew LED's	UC Hallway	1	32	250	8				
lew LED's	UC Office 2nd floor	32	32	500	512				
D High Bays	UC Storage Room	23	170	140	547.4				

					TOTAL kWh:	5318.972	
					Price per kWh:	0.21	
					TOTAL COST:	1,116.98	
					POTENTIAL SAVINGS	\$1,402.23 per year	
ghting Option	Hourly Usage	Count	Up front Cost	Pge Rebate	Yearly Energy Savi	ings* Payback**	
IBE High Bay	Occupancy Data	46	\$10,017	\$55/fixture	\$202.00	37 years	
IBG High Bay	Occupancy Data	46	\$17,401	\$55/fixture	\$202.00	74 years	
LBL4 Troffer	Occupancy Data	137	\$23,048	\$21.265/fixture	\$887.00	23 years	
2BLTR Troffer	Occupancy Data	81	\$15,390	\$21.295/fixture	\$887.00	16 years	
2VTL Troffer	Occupancy Data	81	\$22,032	\$22.50/fixture	\$887.00	23 years	

<sup>•</sup> Yearly Energy Savings assume an average LED troffer wattage of 32W and LED High Bay wattage of 170W \*\*Payback time does not include installation fees and cost of lighting technology. PGE Rebates, price per kWh, and occupancy patterns are subject to change and impact final savings

Based on our findings, this retrofit has the potential to produce positive annual energy savings. Although the payback period isn't ideal, it can be shortened by using lighting options that do not have occupancy sensing capabilities integrated into the fixture. In other words, getting cheaper fixtures would lower the payback period. Due to the limited timeframe that we were given, the lighting retrofit we conducted is limited in vendor options, so we suggest contacting more vendors and looking at what other vendors (besides CJS) have to offer. Additional cost, such as installation fees, were not taken into account for this retrofit and may also significantly impact the payback period, so we suggest taking these factors into account as well in the future.



CJS Lighting – For All Your Professional Lighting Needs. (n.d.). Retrieved June 5, 2018, from https://cjslighting.com/ PG&E business rebates. (n.d.). Retrieved June 5, 2018, from https://www.pge.com/en\_US/business/save-energy-money/businesssolutions-and-rebates/product-rebates/productrebates.page?WT.mc\_id=Vanity\_businessrebates Case Studies. (n.d.). Retrieved June 5, 2018, from https://www.ledsupplyco.com/case-studies 2017 Campus Design Guide | Design and Construction Management. (n.d.). Retrieved June 5, 2018, from http://dcm.ucdavis.edu/cdg/index.html

## Conclusion



# References

# **Contact info**

Juan Garcia-Torres Senior Undergraduate **Electrical Engineer** jgarciatorres@ucdavis.edu

Nicholas Magnasco Junior Undergraduate Mechanical Engineer ncmagnasco@ucdavis.edu

Simon Han Junior Undergraduate Landscape Architect ziyhan@ucdavis.edu